At the Stirling Council meeting on  $2^{nd}$  May, the Proposed Local Development Plan was accepted unaltered BUT with the following resolution attached.

The next stage of the LDP development is explained in 2.2 below with SC's opinion of Fintry's representations entered on the schedule 4 form q.v.

Administration Resolution on Local Development Plan

2.1 Council notes the representations and responses and thanks the officers for their work and all those who have taken the time to contribute to the plan.

2.2 Council agrees to submit the completed schedule 4 forms, which make up the summary of the representations and proposed amendments from different sections of the community, and the proposed local development plan to Scottish Ministers to establish the examination process.

2.3 Council agrees to delegate to the Head of Economy Planning and Regulation in consultation with the Convenor and Deputy Convenor, to respond to any information requests received from the Scottish Government Directorate of Planning and Environmental Appeals.

2.4 Council approves the statement of conformity and agrees to submit this to Scottish Ministers prior to the examination of the plan.

2.5 Council notes that it is too late in the process to make major alterations to the Local Development Plan which would result in risk and delay due to notifiable modifications. This would leave the Council without an approved LDP and would leave our community vulnerable to speculative development applications.

2.6 Council furthermore resolves to write to the Directorate to express its concern on the following items, suggesting that special consideration be given with hearings, where appropriate, to addressing the corresponding representations:

a) The community representations arising from the settlement statement in Killearn, especially around Station Road and the Hospital site.

b) The community representations arising from the settlement statement in Fintry, especially around Main Street and Menzies Terrace

c) While there is not a large number of representations from the Bannockburn and Eastern Villages ward area, there is still a very real concern that there may be too many houses allocated in the area.

d) The community and statutory representations relating to HO56 and the desire to maintain zero allocation.

e) The concerns in Thornhill about the Conservation Area - the arguments on both sides may mean that this suggestion would benefit from further consideration separate from the Local Development Plan.